OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
DIR IRRIGATION DIVISION DIR
E-Mail-dirirrigation2@hotmail.com
0945-9250068

Work -06

BIDS EVALUATION REPORT

Name of Work: **ADP NO.2123/250802 -CONSTRUCTION OF FLOOD PROTECTION WORKS IN UPPER AND LOWER DIR.**
Sub Work: **Construction of flood protection Works at NehagKhwar and KaaroKhwar and its tributaries in reaches in District Dir Upper.**
Estimated cost: **Rs. 68.56 million**

1. PC-I of the subject ADP scheme was approved in the **12th PDWP meeting held on 16-02-2026** for a cost of **Rs. 1000.00 million** with. The Administrative Approval and Minutes of the PDWP meeting are attached as **Annex-I.**
2. The approved PC-I comprised of18sub-works including 08 No. in Lower Dir &10 No. in Upper Dir. The subject sub-work is reflected at S.No. 14of the abstract of cost of the approved PC-I. Abstract of approved PC-I attached as **Annex-II**.
3. A meeting of the Procurement Committee, notified vide **Notification No. 1231/C-I/KPPRA dated30-04-2026**, was held under the chairmanship of the Superintending Engineer Swat Irrigation Circle Swat and finalized the Single Stage Two Envelop mode of procurement for the 06 sub works with estimated cost of more than Rs. 65 millions and Single Stage Single envelop for other sub works. Minutes of the Procurement Committee attached as **Annex-III.**
4. Consequently, the Notice Inviting Bids on Single Stage Two Envelop method was uploaded on EPADs and published vide INF(P)214/26, dated 18/03//2026 and uploaded on Irrigation & KPPRA Websites (**Annex-IV**).
5. During the detailed technical evaluation, none of the participating bidders was found to have fulfilled the evaluation criteria specified in the NIT and bidding documents. Accordingly, the Technical Evaluation Report, prepared by the Procurement Committee, was submitted to the Chief Engineer (North), along with a proposal for re-invitation of bids through the Single Stage-Single Envelope procedure, keeping in view the urgency and importance of the flood protection works and the need to avoid further delay in their execution. The relevant correspondence is attached as **Annex-V**.
6. The Chief Engineer (North) vide his letter No. 1383/C-I/CS/Vol:VI(2025-26) Dated 19-05-2026 approved the Technical Evaluation Report and rebidding on Single Stage Single Envelop bidding method. (**Annex-VI**).

Accordingly, the Notice Inviting Bids (NIT) through Single Stage Single Envelope procurement method was advertised on EPADs, published in national dailies vide **NIT No. INF(P) 2511/2026 Dated 25-05-2026** and uploaded on Irrigation Department & KPPRA websites. The closing date for submission of bids was **11-06-2026at 2.00PM with opening of bids on same day at 2.30 PM**. Advertisement and related correspondence attached as **Annex-VII**.

8. A total of 10 (TEN) bidders submitted their bids through the EPADs system. Screen shot showing list of all bidders on EPADs is attached as **Annex-VIII**.

9. The bids were opened by the Procurement Committee on 11-06-2026 at 2.30 PM in the presence of intending bidders. During bid opening, the names of bidders, presence of bid securities in hard in sealed envelope, and quoted rates were announced. The bids were checked by the procurement committee for mandatory requirement in accordance with the NIT and bidding documents, detail is tabulated as under.

S#	Name of Contractor/Firm	Mandatory requirements as per NIT								Remarks
		CDR submitted in original	CNIC	Enlist:	PEC	Spelizn : code	Active FBR	Active KPPRA	Rate Analysis	
1	Ishtiaq Builders	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	NA	Non responsive due to non submission CDR.
2	M/S UmerzadaConstr:	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	NA	**Responsive**
3	M/S Sher Muhammad	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	NA	Non Responsive due to non-submission of CDR and Bidding Documents
4	M/S Sher Muhammad Buil	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	NA	**Responsive**
5	M/S MalakPainda Khel	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	NA	**Responsive**
6	MalakNasar Khan	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	NA	**Responsive**
7	Gul Zamin Khan	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	NA	Non Responsive due to non submission of CDR and Bidding Documents
8	Rabat Construction	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	NA	Non Responsive due to non submission of CDR
9	Star Construction	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	NA	Non Responsive due to non submission of CDR and Bidding Documents
10	Pak International	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	NA	**Responsive**

CamScanner

During detailed evaluation, **M/s Sher Muhammad Builders was initially treated as non-responsive for the subject sub-work** due to submission/uploading of the same additional bid security instrument for two sub-works under the same procurement, while the said bid security was considered against the other sub-work. However, the bidder had quoted the lowest bid of Rs. 53.06544 million (22.60% below) for the subject sub-work.

11. Accordingly, the three (03) bids with identical bid price of Rs. 54.848 (M) @ 20% below the Engineer's Estimated Costwere invited for draw in light of NIT condition for deciding the lowest responsive bid, vide letter No. 1459/3-M, dated 13/07/2026 (**Annex-IX**).

12. During the proceedings for the draw, **M/s Sher Muhammad Builders** raised an objection and requested that he intended for this sub work and be declared responsive and be awarded the sub work being the lowest bid of Rs. 53.06544 @ 22.60 % below premium. The other bidders stressed to proceed with the draw proceedings.

13. Accordingly, the draw proceedings were postponed and the matter was referred to the Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Public Procurement Regulatory Authority (KPPRA) for advice vide letter No. 1488/KPPRA, dated 16/07/2026 (**Annex-X**).

14. KPPRA, vide letter No. KP-PPRA/Enf/Advice/25-59/2026-27/495, dated 03/08/2026, advised that where similar bid security instruments had been submitted by M/s Sher Muhammad Builders for two works, the submitted bid securities/additional bid securities may be considered in respect of one of the two works only (**Annex-XI**).

15. Based on the above advice and further clarification by the Chairman Procurement Committee vide his letter No. 1545/28-M, dated 25/08/2026 (**Annex-XII**), the bidder's CDR was considered for the **subject sub-work**, where greater saving to the Government exchequer was available due to the lower bid cost, and the bidder was treated as **responsive for the subject sub-work**. The bid security was not considered for the other sub-work, where the required bid security condition was not fulfilled.

16. Accordingly, M/s Sher Muhammad Builders was retained as a responsive bidder for the instant sub-workand the total number of responsive bidders became **05 (five)**.

17. The comparative statement of the 05 responsive bids is summarized below:

S#	Name of Contractor/Firm	E/Cost Rs: in (M)	Contractor premium above/below	CDR Amount Rs: 1371200/-	CDR No. & Date with issuing bank	Additional bid security detail	Bid cost in (M)	Remarks
			SI Rate %	NSI Rates%				
1	M/S UmerZada	68.56	-10%	-10%	254847 UBL Tmg	NA	61.7040	5th
2	M/S Sher Muhammad Buil	68.56	-22.60%	-22.60%	1999936,1999937,1999943,1999944 BOK Wari	15495000/- BOK Wari	53.06544	1st Lowest

CamScanner

3	M/S Malak Painda Khel	68.56	-20%	-20%	1999801 BOK Wari	5684800/- 1999802 BOK wari	54.848	2nd
4	M/S Malak Nasar Khan	68.56	-20%	-20%	306412 Dt 10.06.026 UBL Wari	306413 Dt 10.06.026 UBL Wari	54.848	3rd
5	M/S Pak International	68.56	-20%	-20%	1999603,1999933, etc: Dt 11.06.26 BOK Dir U	1999939 Dt 11.06.26 BOK Dir U	54.848	4th

EPADs generated comparative statement attached as **Annex-XII**.

18. The bid offered by **M/S Sher Muhammad Builders village Maskarai Tehsil Wari District Dir Upper** with bid cost of Rs. 53.06544 million @ 22.60%below the Engineer's Estimated Cost, has been evaluated as lowest responsive to the NIT & bidding conditions.

19. In view of the foregoing, the Procurement Committee unanimously recommends the award of the subject work to **M/S Sher Muhammad Builders village Maskarai Tehsil Wari District Dir Upper** with bid cost of Rs. 53.06544 million (Rupees Fifty-three point zero six five four four Million Only) @22.60% Below premium against the Engineer Estimated cost of Rs. 68.56 million.

20. The recommendation is submitted for approval of the competent authority under Section 11 (2), Third Schedule -Special Powers, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Delegation of Financial Powers, 2018, please.

Member
Assistant/Head Clerk
Dir Irrigation Division

Member
Superintendent
Swat Irrigation Circle

Member
Administrative Officer
Chief Engineer (North)

Member
Deputy Director (D/P)
Chief Engineer North

Procuring Officer/Member
Executive Engineer
Dir Irrigation Division

Chairman
Superintending Engineer
Swat Irrigation Circle

Approved.

Approved as recommended

CamScanner